Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,910	ELLIOTT, GILLIAN DAPHNE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachariah Lucas	1648
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Zachariah Lucas.	(3)	
(2) Susan Alpert Siegel, PhD.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 January 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 12		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
3 m2		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was indicated that the claims would be in condition for allowance if claim 12 was amended to clarify that the VP22 protein (or fragment thereof) was physically connected to the substance to be delivered. It was suggested that the claim be amended to replace the phrase "and a non-covalent association" in line 3 of the claim with the phrase - - and a non-covalent coupling- -. The Applicant agreed to the amendment and indicated that an Examiner's amendment making this change would be acceptable.